This article was downloaded by: [Malmo Hogskola] On: 20 December 2011, At: 23:15 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Asian Natural Products Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ganp20

A new prenylated xanthone from the branches of Calophyllum inophyllum

Dai-Jing Wei $^{a\ b}$, Wen-Li Mei a , Hui-Ming Zhong b , Yan-Bo Zeng a , Xu-Dong Wu a & Hao-Fu Dai a

^a Hainan Key Laboratory for Research and Development of Natural Products from Li Folk Medicine, Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Haikou, 571101, China

^b College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266042, China

Available online: 15 Mar 2011

To cite this article: Dai-Jing Wei, Wen-Li Mei, Hui-Ming Zhong, Yan-Bo Zeng, Xu-Dong Wu & Hao-Fu Dai (2011): A new prenylated xanthone from the branches of Calophyllum inophyllum , Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 13:03, 265-269

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020.2010.550884</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



A new prenylated xanthone from the branches of *Calophyllum inophyllum*

Dai-Jing Wei^{ab}, Wen-Li Mei^a, Hui-Ming Zhong^b, Yan-Bo Zeng^a, Xu-Dong Wu^a and Hao-Fu Dai^a*

^aHainan Key Laboratory for Research and Development of Natural Products from Li Folk Medicine, Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Haikou 571101, China; ^bCollege of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China

(Received 25 October 2010; final version received 22 December 2010)

The investigation of chemical constituents from the branches of *Calophyllum inophyllum* Linn led to the isolation of a new prenylated xanthone, named caloxanthone Q (1), together with three known compounds, 2-deprenylrheediaxanthone B (2), jacareubin (3), and 6-deoxyjacareubin (4). Their structures were completely elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic methods (UV, IR, HR-ESI-MS, 1D NMR, and 2D NMR).

Keywords: Clusiaceae; Calophyllum inophyllum Linn; prenylated xanthone; caloxanthone Q

1. Introduction

Calophyllum inophyllum Linn (Clusiaceae) is an evergreen shrub mainly distributed in Yunnan, Guangxi, Hainan, and Taiwan Provinces of China. As a traditional medicinal plant, C. inophyllum is used for the treatment of eye diseases, wounds, rheumatisms, and inflammations in China [1,2]. Since inophyllums B and P isolated by Patil et al. [3] showed strong activity against HIV-1, much attention has been paid to research on the chemical components of C. inophyllum, and xanthones [4–6], pyranocoumarins [4– 9], terpenoids [9,10], and flavonoids [11] were found to be the main components. In our previous study, three new prenylated xanthones [12,13] were isolated from the twigs of C. inophyllum collected in Hainan Province of China. Our further phytochemical work on this species has led to the isolation of another new prenylated xanthone (Figure 1), which contained an acid group and named as caloxanthone Q (1), together with three known compounds elucidated as 2-deprenylrheediaxanthone B (2), jacareubin (3), and 6-deoxyjacareubin (4), respectively. We report here about the isolation and structural elucidation of these compounds.

2. Results and discussion

Compound 1 was obtained as a yellow amorphous powder. The molecular formula of 1 was determined as $C_{20}H_{18}O_8$ by its HR-ESI-MS analysis, which was supported by ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectral data (Table 1). The IR spectrum of 1 exhibited strong absorptions due to free hydroxyl (3473 cm⁻¹), chelated hydroxyl (3160 cm⁻¹), conjugated carbonyl group (1637 cm⁻¹), and aromatic groups (1584

ISSN 1028-6020 print/ISSN 1477-2213 online © 2011 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/10286020.2010.550884 http://www.informaworld.com

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: hfdai2001@yahoo.com.cn



Figure 1. Structure of compound 1.

and $1390 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$). These data, together with those obtained from the UV spectrum $[\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (MeOH) 200, 243, 282, 325, 380 nm], were consistent with the presence of a xanthone skeleton [4,5]. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 showed 20 carbon signals, which were attributed by DEPT and HMQC techniques as 4 methyls, 3 methines, and 13 quaternary carbons including one carbonyl ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 179.1) and one carboxylic acid ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.1), six oxygenated sp² carbons, four sp² carbons, and one sp³ carbon. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) revealed the presence of a chelated hydroxyl group at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 12.99 (1H, s) and two aromatic signals [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.26 (1H, s, H-2) and 6.84 (1H, s, H-7)]. Furthermore, it showed the presence of an α, α, β -trimethyldihydrofuran ring [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.59 (1H, q, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.55, 1.25 (each 3H, s), and 1.36 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz)] and a methoxyl group ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.86, 3H, s).

In the HMBC spectrum (Figure 2), the chelated hydroxyl group caused three cross peaks with three aromatic carbons C-9a ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 102.9), C-2 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 94.1), and C-1 $(\delta_{\rm C}$ 163.8), which suggested that the chelated hydroxyl group was located at C-1. The long-range correlations of H-12 $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.25)$ and H-13 $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.55)$ with C-4 $(\delta_{\rm C}$ 113.5) and H-14 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.59) with C-3 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 166.2) in the HMBC spectrum indicated that the α, α, β -trimethyldihydrofuran ring was fused at C-4 through an oxygen at C-3 position [14]. By comparing the ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals of **1** with those of caloxanthone N [12], the difference involved was only that the γ,γ -dimethylallyl chain at C-8 of caloxanthone N was replaced by the free carbonyl group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.1) in **1**. Strong interactions observed between the methoxyl protons and H-12 $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.25)$ and H-13 $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.55)$ in the ROESY spectrum (Figure 2) supported the placement of the methoxyl protons at C-5 in **1**. The presence of a hydroxyl group at C-6 was deduced from the downfield shift of C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 157.2) and the chemical shift of the methoxyl group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 60.9) which proved to be di-ortho oxygen substituted, because it was observed at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 60.9 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum [15,16]. The location of the carboxylic acid (δ_C 170.1) was deduced at C-8 through the correlation of H-7 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.84) with the carboxylic acid

Position	δ_{C}	$\delta_{\rm H} \left(J \text{ in Hz} \right)$	Position	δ_{C}	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})$
1	163.8 (s)		9a	102.9 (s)	
2	94.1 (d)	6.26 (1H, s)	10a	152.4 (s)	
3	166.2 (s)		11	43.8 (s)	
4	113.5 (s)		12	21.9 (q)	1.25 (3H, s)
5	135.3 (s)		13	25.7 (q)	1.55 (3H, s)
6	157.2 (s)		14	91.2 (d)	4.59 (1H, q, $J = 6.5$ Hz)
7	112.8 (d)	6.84 (1H, s)	15	14.7 (q)	1.36 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz)
8	131.9 (s)		OMe-5	61.6 (q)	3.86 (3H, s)
9	179.1 (s)		COOH-8	170.1 (s)	
4a	150.8 (s)		OH-1		12.99 (1H, s)
8a	109.7 (s)				

Table 1. ¹H NMR (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) spectral data of compound **1** in DMSO-*d*₆.



Figure 2. Key HMBC and ROESY correlations of compound **1**.

 $(\delta_{\rm C} \ 170.1)$ in the HMBC spectrum. The ROESY experiment showed that H-14 $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 4.59)$ correlated with H-13 $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 1.55)$, while not with H-12 $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 1.25)$. This result indicated that when H-14 and CH₃-13 were assigned β -orientation, CH₃-12 and CH₃-15 were in α -orientation. Consequently, the structure of **1** was elucidated as shown in Figure 1, named caloxanthone Q. And this is the first report of prenylated xanthone derivative with a carboxylic acid group obtained from plants of the *Calophyllum* genus.

Three known compounds 2-4 were identified as 2-deprenylrheediaxanthone B, jacareubin, 6-deoxyjacareubin, respectively, by comparing their spectral data with those reported in literature [17,18].

Compounds 1–4 were evaluated for their cytotoxic activities against chronic myelogenous leukemia (K562), human gastric carcinoma (SGC-7901), and human hepatoma (SMMC-7721) cell lines using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenylte-trazolium bromide (MTT) method, but none of them were active (IC₅₀ > 20 µg/ml).

3. Experimental

3.1 General experimental procedures

Melting point was measured on a Beijing Taike X-5 hot stage apparatus (uncorrected). Optical rotation was obtained with a Rudolph Autopol III polarimeter (Rodolph Research Analytical, Hackettstown, NJ, USA). The UV spectra were measured using a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrometer. The IR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet 380 FTIR instrument as KBr pellets. The NMR spectra were run on a Bruker AV-400 spectrometer, using TMS as an internal standard. The HR-ESI-MS spectra were measured with an API QSTAR Pulsar mass spectrometer. Column chromatography was performed with silica gel (Qingdao Marine Chemical Industry Factory, Qingdao, China) and Sephadex LH-20 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). TLC was preformed with silica gel GF254 (Qingdao Marine Chemical Industry Factory, Qingdao, China).

3.2 Plant material

The twigs of *C. inophyllum* were collected from the conservation area of a mangrove forest at Wenchang County of Hainan Province, China, in May 2006, and authenticated by Associate Professor Zheng-Fu Dai (Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Haikou, China). A voucher specimen (No. 20060508) has been deposited in the Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences.

3.3 Extraction and isolation

The dried and crushed branches of C. inophyllum (19.9 kg) were exhaustively extracted with 95% EtOH three times at room temperature and filtered. After evaporation, the EtOH residue was diluted in H₂O and successively extracted with petroleum ether to afford petroleum ether extract (290.0 g). The aqueous part was applied to a macroporous resin D-101 column eluted with H₂O, a gradient of 50, 95, and 100% MeOH, to afford 50% MeOH fraction (65.0 g), 95% MeOH fraction (245.0 g), and 100% MeOH fraction (70.0 g). The 50% MeOH fraction (65.0 g) was concentrated in vacuo to give a residue (65.0 g), which was chromatographed on a silica gel column (200-300 mesh) with gradient CHCl₃-MeOH as eluents to give seven fractions. Fraction 1 (3.5 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (100-200 mesh), eluting with a gradient of Me₂CO in petroleum ether $(1:10 \rightarrow 1:1)$ to yield 11 subfractions. Subfraction 6 (287.5 mg) was separated by Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography eluted with 95% EtOH to give 2 (7.5 mg) and 3(12.1 mg). Fraction 2 (0.8 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (100-200 mesh), eluted with petroleum ether-ethyl acetate (2:1) and recrystallized to afford compound 4 (9.0 mg). Fraction 3 (0.8 g) was subjected to a silica gel column (100-200 mesh) with gradient elution utilizing CHCl3-MeOH as solvent system to give five fractions. Subfraction 1 (120.5 mg) was chromatographed over Sephadex LH-20 eluted with 95% EtOH, further purified by silica gel column chromatography eluted with petroleum ether-acetone (5:1), and recrystallized to afford compound 1 (11.0 mg).

3.3.1 Caloxanthone Q(1)

Yellow powder, $C_{20}H_{18}O_8$, mp 140– 142°C, $[\alpha]_D^{36}$ +38.0 (c = 0.5, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} 200, 243, 282, 325, 380 nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 3473, 3160, 2925, 2851, 2374, 2336, 1637, 1584, 1390, 1332, 1266, 1189, 1117, 1058, 997, 860. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data: see Table 1; ESI-MS *m/z*: 409 [M + Na]⁺; HR-ESI-MS *m/z*: 409.0897 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for $C_{20}H_{18}O_8Na$, 409.0899).

3.4 Bioassay

The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed according to the previously reported method [19]. The inhibition rates were calculated using optical density (OD) mean values from inhibition rate (%) = $(OD_{control} - OD_{sample})/OD_{control}$. The IC₅₀ value, which was defined as the concentration of sample needed to reduce 50% of absorbance relative to the vehicle-treated control, was determined using the Bliss method. The same experiment was repeated independently three times to obtain a mean IC₅₀ and its standard deviation.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by National Nonprofit Institute Research Grant of CATAS-ITBB (ITBBZD0742) and National Basic Research Program of China (2009CB125904).

References

- H.Y. Chen, *Flora of Hainanica* (Science Press, Beijing, 1965), Vol. 2, p. 56.
- [2] H.F. Dai and W.L. Mei, Modern Research on Medicinal Plants in Hainan (China Science and Technology Press, Beijing, 2007), p. 58.
- [3] A.D. Patil, A.J. Freyer, D.S. Eggleston, R.C. Haltiwanger, M.F. Bean, P.B. Taylor, M.J. Caranfa, A.L. Breen, H.R. Bartus, and R.K. Johnson, *J. Med. Chem.* 36, 4131 (1993).
- [4] M. Iinuma, H. Tosa, T. Tanaka, and S. Yonemori, *Phytochemistry* 35, 527 (1994).
- [5] M. Iinuma, H. Tosa, T. Tanaka, and S. Yonemori, *Phytochemistry* 38, 725 (1995).
- [6] Y. Wu, P.C. Zhang, R.Y. Chen, D.Q. Yu, and X.T. Liang, *Acta Chim. Sin.* **61**, 1047 (2003).
- [7] M. Itoigawa, C. Ito, H.T.-W. Tan, M. Kuchide, H. Tokuda, H. Nishino, and H. Furukawa, *Cancer Lett.* 169, 15 (2001).
- [8] C. Spino, M. Dodier, and S. Sotheeswaran, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 8, 3475 (1998).
- [9] M.C. Yimdjo, A.G. Azebaze, A.E. Nkengfack, A.M. Meyre, B. Bodo, and Z.T. Fomum, *Phytochemistry* 65, 2789 (2004).
- [10] T.R. Govindachari, N. Viswanathan, B.R. Pai, U.R. Rao, and M. Srinivasan, *Tetrahedron* 23, 1901 (1967).
- [11] Y.Z. Li, Z.L. Li, H.M. Hua, Z.G. Li, and M.S. Lui, J. Chin. Med. Mater. 32, 692 (2007).

- [12] Q. Xiao, Y.B. Zeng, W.L. Mei, Y.X. Zhao, Y.Y. Deng, and H.F. Dai, *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* **10**, 993 (2008).
- [13] H.F. Dai, Y.B. Zeng, Q. Xiao, Z. Han, Y.X. Zhao, and W.L. Mei, *Molecules* 15, 606 (2010).
- [14] Y.S. Zou, A.J. Hou, G.F. Zhu, Y.F. Chen, H.D. Sun, and Q.S. Zhao, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 12, 1947 (2004).
- [15] A. Makriyannis and S. Fesik, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 6462 (1982).

- [16] I. Miura, K. Hostettmann, and K. Nakanishi, *Nouv. J. Chim.* 2, 653 (1978).
- [17] G. Rath, O. Potterat, S. Mavi, and K. Hostettmann, *Phytochemistry* 43, 513 (1996).
- [18] P.W. Westerman, S.P. Gunasekera, M. Uvais, S. Sultanbawa, and R. Kazlauskas, *Org. Magn. Reson.* 9, 631 (1977).
- [19] T. Mosmann, J. Immunol. Methods 65, 55 (1983).